1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California	
2	LINDA K. SCHNEIDER Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
3	RITA M. LANE, State Bar No. 171352 Deputy Attorney General	
4	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101	
5	P.O. Box 85266	
6	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2614	•
7	Facsimile: (619) 645-2061	
8	Attorneys for Complainant	
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY	
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
11	·	
12	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against:	Case No. 3215
13	SANDRA J. COLE 7548 Ridgeway Drive	STATEMENT OF ISSUES
14	Buena Park, CA 90620	
15	Respondent.	
15 16		
	Complainant alleges:	
16	Complainant alleges:	_
16 17	Complainant alleges: PARTIE: 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant	brings this Statement of Issues solely in
16 17 18	Complainant alleges: PARTIES 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the E	brings this Statement of Issues solely in
16 17 18	Complainant alleges: PARTIES 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the E Consumer Affairs.	brings this Statement of Issues solely in Board of Pharmacy, Department of
16 17 18 19 20	Complainant alleges: PARTIES 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the E Consumer Affairs. 2. On or about November 16, 20	brings this Statement of Issues solely in Board of Pharmacy, Department of O7, the Board of Pharmacy, Department of
16 17 18 19 20 21	Complainant alleges: PARTIES 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the E Consumer Affairs.	brings this Statement of Issues solely in Board of Pharmacy, Department of O7, the Board of Pharmacy, Department of
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Complainant alleges: PARTIES 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the E Consumer Affairs. 2. On or about November 16, 20	brings this Statement of Issues solely in Board of Pharmacy, Department of O7, the Board of Pharmacy, Department of ration as a Pharmacy Technician from
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Complainant alleges: PARTIES 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the E Consumer Affairs. 2. On or about November 16, 200 Consumer Affairs received an application for Registre	brings this Statement of Issues solely in Board of Pharmacy, Department of O7, the Board of Pharmacy, Department of ration as a Pharmacy Technician from or 12, 2007, Sandra J. Cole certified under
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Complainant alleges: PARTIES 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the ECOnsumer Affairs. 2. On or about November 16, 200 Consumer Affairs received an application for Registr Sandra J. Cole (Respondent). On or about November	Board of Pharmacy, Department of 707, the Board of Pharmacy, Department of 808 ration as a Pharmacy Technician from 809 ration as a Pharmacy Technician from 800 ration as a Pharmacy Technical from 800 ration as a Pharmacy Technical from 800 ration as a Pharmacy Technical from
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Complainant alleges: PARTIES 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the ECOnsumer Affairs. 2. On or about November 16, 200 Consumer Affairs received an application for Registry Sandra J. Cole (Respondent). On or about November penalty of perjury to the truthfulness of all statements	Board of Pharmacy, Department of 707, the Board of Pharmacy, Department of 808 ration as a Pharmacy Technician from 809 ration as a Pharmacy Technician from 800 ration as a Pharmacy Technical from 800 ration as a Pharmacy Technical from 800 ration as a Pharmacy Technical from

JURISDICTION 3. This Statement of Issues is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated. Section 475 of the Code states: (a) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this code, the provisions of this division shall govern the denial of licenses on the grounds of: (2) Conviction of a crime. (4) Commission of any act which, if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license. 5. Section 480 of the Code states: A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the applicant has one of the following: Been convicted of a crime. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action which a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code. Done any act which if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license. The board may deny a license pursuant to this subdivision only if the crime or act is substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of the business or profession for which application is made. Section 482 of the Code states: 6.

(a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480.

evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:

Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to

| | ///

28 | '

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

///

7. Section 492 of the Code states:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, successful completion of any diversion program under the Penal Code, or successful completion of an alcohol and drug problem assessment program under Article 5 (commencing with section 23249.50) of Chapter 12 of Division 11 of the Vehicle Code, shall not prohibit any agency established under Division 2 ([Healing Arts] commencing with Section 500) of this code, or any initiative act referred to in that division, from taking disciplinary action against a licensee or from denying a license for professional misconduct, notwithstanding that evidence of that misconduct may be recorded in a record pertaining to an arrest.

This section shall not be construed to apply to any drug diversion program operated by any agency established under Division 2 (commencing with Section 500) of this code, or any initiative act referred to in that division.

8. Section 493 of the Code states:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

9. Section 4060 of the Code states:

No person shall possess any controlled substance, except that furnished to a person upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7, or furnished pursuant to a drug order issued by a certified nurse-midwife pursuant to Section 2746.51, a nurse practitioner pursuant to Section 2836.1, or a physician assistant pursuant to Section 3502.1, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.5, or a pharmacist pursuant to either subparagraph (D) of paragraph (4) of, or clause (iv) of subparagraph (A) of paragraph (5) of, subdivision (a) of Section 4052. This section shall not apply to the possession of any controlled substance by a manufacturer, wholesaler, pharmacy, pharmacist, physician, podiatrist, dentist, optometrist, veterinarian, naturopathic doctor, certified nurse-midwife, nurse practitioner, or physician assistant, when in stock in containers correctly labeled with the name and address of the supplier or producer.

10. Section 4300 of the Code states in pertinent part:

(c) The board may refuse a license to any applicant guilty of unprofessional conduct. The board may, in its sole discretion, issue a probationary license to any applicant for a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct and who has met all other requirements for licensure.

11. Section 4301 of the Code states:

- 1

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

- (j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.
 - 12. California Code of Regulations (CCR), title 16, section 1769 states:
- (a) When considering the denial of a facility or personal license under Section 480 of the Business and Professions Code, the board, in evaluating the rehabilitation o the applicant and his present eligibility for licensing or registration, will consider the following criteria:
- (1) The nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s) under consideration as grounds for denial.
- (2) Evidence of any act(s) committed subsequent to the act(s) or crime(s) under consideration as grounds for denial under Section 480 of the Business and Professions Code.
- (3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or crime(s) referred to in subdivision (1) or (2).
- (4) whether the applicant has complied with any terms of parole, probation, restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the applicant.
 - (5) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the applicant.

13. CCR section 1770 states:

For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(May 15, 2001 Conviction of a Crime-Possession of a Controlled Substance)

14. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code sections 475(a)(2) and 480(a)(1) in that she was convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:

- a. On or about May 15, 2001, Respondent pled guilty to a violation of Health and Safety Code sections 11377(a) (Possession of a Controlled Substance) a felony, and 11379(a) (Sale or Transportation of a Controlled Substance), a felony, in the criminal proceeding entitled *People vs. Sandra Jane Cole*, Orange County Superior Court, West Justice Center, Case Number CY00WF0778.
- b. On July 13, 2001, Respondent was sentenced to three years formal probation; committed to the custody of the county jail for 90 days; ordered to submit to drug testing; pay a \$200 restitution fine; and pay a \$50 controlled substance lab fee.
- c. The circumstances of the crime are that on March 6, 2000, while Respondent was driving her friend's vehicle, she was pulled over by a police unit for expired registration on the vehicle's license plate. While the police officer was talking to Respondent she became very nervous. Respondent gave the officer permission to search the vehicle and her purse. The officer found methamphetamine in Respondent's purse.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Conviction of a Crime Substantially Related to The Practice of Pharmacy)

15. Respondent's application is subject to denial for unprofessional conduct under section 493 in that Respondent was convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a Pharmacy Technician in that she was in possession of methamphetamine. The circumstances are set forth in paragraph 14 above, which are realleged and incorporated herein by reference.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Commission of An Act Which, if Done By a Licensee, Would Be Grounds For Suspension or Revocation of License - Possession of Controlled Substances)

16. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code sections 475(a)(4), 480(a)(3), and 4301(j) for commission of an act which, if done by a licensee, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license, in that Respondent violated state laws by being in possession of controlled substances in violation of Code section 4060 and Health and Safety Code section 11377(a). The circumstances are as follows:

17.

was arrested for having a controlled substance in her possession. On or about September 21, 2005, in the Orange County Superior Court, Case number 05NF1237FA, entitled *People v. Sandra Jane Cole*, Respondent pled guilty to Possession of a Controlled Substance in violation of Health and Safety Code section 11377(a), a felony. Imposition of the sentence was suspended and Respondent was placed on three (3) years formal probation to include: completion of a drug treatment program pursuant to Penal Code section 1210; random drug testing; attend one (1) Alcoholic Anonymous/Narcotics Anonymous meeting per day; pay \$20 security fee; pay \$50 controlled substance lab fee; pay \$200 restitution fee; and a \$125 booking fee.

b. The circumstances of the crime are that on February 3, 2005, police

On or about February 3, 2005 in the County of Buena Park, Respondent

- b. The circumstances of the crime are that on February 3, 2005, police detectives executed a search warrant at a residence where Respondent was staying. Respondent was present during the search. As a result of the search on the residence, detectives searched Respondent's purse. Respondent's purse contained numerous pieces of paperwork in her name, as well as her credit cards and driver's license. Detectives also found methamphetamine in Respondent's purse.
- c. On July 14, 2006, Respondent petitioned the court to set aside her September 21, 2005 conviction for possession of a controlled substance. On July 14, 2006, the Orange County Superior Court set aside Respondent's conviction and her case was dismissed pursuant to Penal Code section 1210.1.
- 18. On or about March 6, 2000, Respondent was in possession of a controlled substance in that she had methamphetamine in her purse as more specifically set forth above in paragraph 14, which is realleged and incorporated herein by reference.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(General Unprofessional Conduct)

19. Respondent's application is subject to denial for unprofessional conduct under section 4300(c) in that Respondent was convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a Pharmacy Technician and that on two occasions,

///

Respondent was in possession of controlled substances. The circumstances are set forth in paragraphs 14 and 17 above, which are realleged and incorporated herein by reference. **PRAYER** WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision: 1. Denying the application of Sandra J. Cole for a Registration as a Pharmacy Technician; Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. Executive Officer Board-of-Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs State of California Complainant SD2008802379 80299141.wpd